2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID CHURCHILL,

Case No. C-04-0489 JCS

Plaintiff(s),

v.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE ORDER

WINTER CHEVROLET COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

On February 3, 2006, and February 10, 2006, a Pretrial Conference was held in this matter. Richard M. Rogers appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. Robert G. Hulteng and Joshua J. Cliffe appeared on behalf of Defendant. Having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court makes the following Pretrial Conference Order. Except as modified by this Order, the parties' Joint Proposed Pretrial Order is adopted as an Order of this Court.

#### A. LENGTH AND CONDUCT OF THE TRIAL

- 1. Each side shall be limited to ten (10) hours for the presentation of the direct examination of their witnesses and the cross-examination of opposing party's witnesses, including all objections and other time spent in front of the jury. Opening statements will be one-half hour per side.
- 2. The jury trial will begin on February 27, 2006 at 8:30 a.m., in Courtroom A, 15th Floor, U.S. District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California. Counsel are instructed to be present at 8:15 a.m. on all trial days. The length of the trial will be not more than seven (7) trial days.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. All parties are to be on time and prepared to proceed with their witnesses. If any party is not prepared to present its next witness at the conclusion of the preceding witness, that party will be deemed to have rested that portion of their case, e.g., case- in-chief, rebuttal. Any party may present its direct examination of a witness when that witness first appears.
- 4. All witnesses, except for designated party representatives, are excluded from the courtroom during the testimony of all other witnesses.
- 5. The Court will conduct voir dire as set forth in the script previously distributed to the parties and filed in the Court record.
- 6. Notice of Witnesses and Documents. At the beginning of the business day before a party calls a witness on direct, that party must disclose to the other party the names of the witnesses that it intends to call the following day, and the exhibit numbers of the documents that it plans to use on direct. No party will be allowed to call witnesses or use documents on direct examination other than those that have been previously disclosed in the Joint Proposed Pretrial Conference Order (with respect to evidence other than rebuttal and impeachment) and in the daily witness and document lists (with respect to all evidence).

#### B. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. The following instructions will be given to the jury before opening statements: Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instructions Nos. 1.1-1.13, 2.1, 2.2 and Disputed [sic] Instruction Number 1 Re: Claims and Defenses Offered by Plaintiff.
- 2. Plaintiff's claims, as asserted below, that he was not paid a portion of his bonus for the period 1/1/03 to 7/7/03, which would be a pro rata share of his annual bonus. The parties are ORDERED to meet and confer in an effort to reach a stipulation as to the following:
  - a. The total amount of unpaid bonus for that period, if a bonus was due; and
  - b. If a penalty is owed under California Labor Code section 203, the amount of the penalty, assuming: (a) the 2002 wage rate is used, and (b) if the 2003 wage rate is used.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c.	The parties shall submit briefing during the trial on the legal question
	of whether or not the 2002 wage rate or the 2003 wage rate should be
	used.

3. The parties agree that there will be no need to instruct the jury on the issue of condition precedent.

### C. **CLAIMS**

The following claims remain to be tried in this matter:

- 1. Plaintiff's Claim for Breach of Contract For failure to deliver 20% equity in Winter Chevrolet:
  - Defenses: a.
    - No breach because of defendant's tender of performance; (1)
    - Excused performance: Churchill's refusal to execute (2) August 27, 2001 documents was a breach by Churchill;
    - (3) No breach because of performance of a replacement agreement;
    - (4) Excused performance: Churchill's attempt to buy Pittsburg Ford was a breach of the 2003 employment agreement;
    - (5) Excused performance: because of Churchill's alleged breach by resigning.
- 2. Plaintiff's Claim for unpaid wages and penalties under California Labor Code section 203:
  - 2003 Bonus: Plaintiff contends that a pro rata share of his 2003 Bonus a. is due and unpaid for the period 1/1/03 to 7/7/03. Defendant contends that Plaintiff quit before the bonus accrued and that no bonus is due.
  - Plaintiff contends that 2003 vacation pay should have been calculated b. using the previous year's salary including bonus.
  - Waiting time penalties. Jury issue: was there a good faith dispute c. about whether a 2003 bonus or additional vacation pay was due?

5			

		3.	Defen	dant's claim for intentional interference with prospective advantage –	
dismiss	s with j	prejudio	e by sti	pulation.	
		4.	Defendant's claim for breach of the March 12, 2003 employment contract:		
			a.	Based on Churchill's offer for Pittsburg Ford;	
			b.	Damages sought: \$25,000 of the security deposit.	
		5.	Defen	dant's claim for breach of duty of loyalty.	
			a.	Based on Churchill's offer for Pittsburg Ford;	
			b.	Damages sought: \$25,000 of the security deposit;	
			c.	Claim of breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing	
				dismissed with prejudice by Defendant at the Pretrial Conference.	
	D.	MOTI	IONS IN	N LIMINE	
	For th	e reasoi	ns stated	d on the record at the Pretrial Conference, the Court rules as follows:	
		1.	Plaint	iff's Motions in Limine:	
			a.	For leave to add breach of contract to Motion in Limine Number One:	
				Granted.	
			b.	Number One: Denied.	
			c.	Number Two: Denied without prejudice.	
		2.	Defen	dant's Motions in Limine:	
			a.	Number One: Granted in Part. No evidence regarding statements	
				made during the mediation will be allowed. Plaintiff and Rose Winter	
				can testify regarding the alleged statements made by Rose Winter to	
				Plaintiff outside of the mediation.	
			b.	Number Two: Withdrawn. The parties stipulated that witnesses Wald	
				Rasmussen, and Lavin will not testify at the trial.	
	E.	<u>EXHI</u>	<u>BITS</u>		
		1	All na	rties stimulate that conies may be used as originals and that all exhibits	

1. All parties stipulate that copies may be used as originals and that all exhibits are authentic.

	2.	All parties shall exchange demonstrative exhibits not less than twenty-four
(24) hours in	advano	ce of their use.

3. All marked exhibits are admitted into evidence, there being no objections to the same, except by Plaintiff to certain exhibits. The Court ruled on these objections at the Pretrial Conference.

## **STIPULATIONS OF FACT** F.

The Court intends to read the stipulated facts to the jury along with the final jury instructions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 14, 2006

JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge